Committee Name:	Records & Tabulation	Session #:	Report #:
Committee Chair:	Chris Stevenson	Vice Chair: Greg Danner	
Minutes recorded by:	Greg Danner	Date/time of meeting:	Sunday, May 19 2013 / 8:30 pm EST

Motions Passed:

- 1. Minutes as amended from previous meeting (4-21-13) approved unanimously.
- 2. Motion to require pool measurement status statement in meet information. Motion approved unanimously.
- 3. Motion to list an official policy on altering the "final" Top Ten lists. Motion approved unanimously.

Number of committee members present: 8	Absent: 3	Number of other delegates present: 1

Committee members present: Chris Stevenson (Chair), Greg Danner (Vice Chair), Ginger Pierson, Mary Beth Windrath, Mary Sweat, Barbara Dunbar, Hans Van Meeteren, Mike Abegg, Ed Tsuzuki

Minutes

The meeting was called to order at 8:30pm.

1. Accept April 21th, 2013 minutes

MSA to accept the minutes.

2. Recommended measurement statements on meets

Some LMSCs will only sanction meets in measured pools. Chris suggests that we may want to propose a rule that there should be a statement of pool measurement status; current rules only require a measurement statement if the pool is known to be short. Mike suggests using the statements Mary Beth has proposed on the forums to replace the existing statement in the rule book. A committee member asks how recognized meets will be addressed. USA-S meets automatically receive recognition, so they would not have that type of statement. USMS Streamlines has provided additional detail to the membership indicating additional steps to be taken to ensure times will be valid. The meets where LMSCs issue recognition: we propose a similar rule so that the meet host includes a pool measurement statement in the meet information. Communicating all this to members can also be done on a LMSC level.

Motion: one of the following statements of pool measurement status shall be included in the information of sanctioned meets:

- 1. The length of the competition course is in compliance and on file with USMS in accordance with articles 105.1.7 and 107.2.1.
- 2. The length of the competition course has been measured and is NOT in compliance with USMS articles 105.1.7 and 107.2.1: times achieved in the meet will NOT be eligible for USMS Top 10 and Records.
- 3. The length of the competition course is not on file with USMS. Eligibility of times achieved in this meet will be contingent upon pool length measurement and approval with USMS; if bulkheads are present, their placement must also be confirmed by measurements at the meet. (USMS articles 105.1.7 and 107.2.1).
- 4. The length of the competition course is in compliance and on file with USMS articles 105.1.7 and 107.2.1, but as a bulkhead course is subject to length confirmation. Eligibility of times for USMS Top 10 and Records will be contingent on verification of bulkhead placement.

The committee unanimously approved the motion. Chris will include this in a rule proposal on the forums, and will communicate with Sean Fitzgerald for suggestions on how to do so for Recognized meets.

3. Policy for altering "final" TT lists

The committee discusses and modifies the four proposed reasons for altering "final" TT lists that were initially drafted online. Motion: Top 10 lists can be altered after publication as follows:

- 1. Top 10 lists can be altered for "trivial" corrections that don't affect times or standings. Examples include (but are not limited to) correcting names or club affiliation.
- 2. If official times that were submitted for Top 10 were changed, then the Top 10 list should be changed to reflect those times. Examples include (but are not limited to) incorrect age, falsified age discovered, or touchpad found to be incorrect.

- 3. All-American and All-Star lists should be altered as needed to be consistent with Top 10 lists
- 4. All Top 10, All-American and All-Star non-trivial changes need to be documented.

The motion is approved unanimously. Jim Matysek indicated to Chris that all changes to TT lists being an available document (public or R&T only) would be classified as a low priority project. Committee members think this document should exist: both the (non-trivial) changes and the associated reasons. The access would be limited. How we could implement such a document is still to be determined. Ed points out that the Board may be directing resources more to other committees that are in need of them, which is why such a project might be a low priority. The committee inquires about the level of detail that could be listed in such a document. Mary Beth suggests using the committee forum. There could be privacy related issues with expunging of times and that member's name. Lastly, the committee discusses who should be contacted in the event that an error is noticed in the "final" TT list.

4. Age-adjusted times and swim ratings

Tabled for next meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:44pm